# Voicings on Vocal Mics.

**An International Microphone Survey** 

## VOICINGS ON VOCAL MICS

pproximately 18 months ago we became rather curious about which microphones were currently in use for vocal recording. This curiosity

stemmed from the fact that there was an ever-increasing number of suitable new vocal mics becoming available, many of which clearly out-performed the established 'standard' mics, but we felt there was little indication that engineers were changing from their familiar models to any great degree. We felt that it would be interesting if this could be investigated to produce some specific data. This is particularly relevant as the human voice is perhaps the last 'instrument' that has to be recorded with a mic no matter what your musical style. (Sampling and synthesis are only partial answers for the voice and DIing is rather unpleasant!)

So, early in 1986 we compiled a very basic questionnaire asking just six specific questions on vocal microphones and a further two allowing the person completing the questions to qualify his replies further should he or she wish to. Nearly 450 of these were sent out to a cross section of studio-based and freelance recording engineers throughout the world largely chosen at random, within certain limits.

Unfortunately the response was rather poor. We don't particularly like being asked to fill in questionnaires either but we thought that the simple and open nature of the enquiries would have found far more positive response particularly as the final information would presumably have been of interest as well. But it was not to be and rather sadly we filed all the information away.

Towards the end of last year we decided to have another go with the same questions. The initial mail-out was repeated with all those who had replied before being mailed a copy of their previous answers to check that they were still correct. We also mailed a further several hundred engineers worldwide. This time the response was very good and there are now enough replies to present some meaningful results.,

Whilst the results show a number of patterns of use and may be used to identify certain overall vocal recording microphone consistencies, great care needs to be taken in any further interpretation, if indeed any is possible. The survey specifically targeted studio engineers—not live sound nor broadcast engineers. Odd regional discrepancies can be caused by regional differences in price and distribution affecting numbers in use; and also the fact that replies from certain parts of the world were so low that there was no way

## The Questionnaire

Name	_	oralre
The situation is: you	Studio (if any)	
before.	are about to work with a sing	er you have never recorded
1 What is your first vocal?	choice of mic for recording male	
What is your seco		
What is your first o vocal?	hoice mic for recording female	
What is your secon	d choice?	
3 What is your first backing vocals?	choice mic for recording	
What is your second	choice?	
4 Would your chair v	ffer according to the type of	
ave you any further com	ments to make?	

that meaningful regional breakdowns could be prepared for those areas although the figures were included in the global totals.

For these reasons we would discourage manufacturers from making any statements that don't recognise these shortcomings. Remember these are not sales figures but the preferred choices of recording engineers who seem to have been generally very realistic: they always made it clear that their choice was made from the mics that would generally be available to them not from some 'cosmic wants' list.

So here we present our vocal mic survey and hope that you find it of some interest. We would like to thank everyone who took part and for your comments, both positive and negative.

### The Comments

**Tim Hunt, Marcus:** The microphone technique of the singer is more important than the choice of vocal microphone. A great singer will sound great through almost any commonly available studio microphone. **Laurent Thibault, Le Chateau:** A lot of singers have no bass response when they are shouting. Some who sing softly have a lot of difference of dynamics, more than with a powerful singer. The size of the mouth is very important. *RE20* is good for large mouths.

Mal Luker, Arco: The mics listed are not always used as stated. The final choice is based on factors like how I feel at the time, how far I have to walk to find a microphone, mood, etc.

Gerry Kitchingham, R G Jones: I think a choice of limiter/compressor is more important than a choice of mic when recording different types of music

**Stephen Lipson:** The answers are very academic as there are many other variables, ie music, desk, mood, limiters, singer, etc.

**Brian Robson:** Because the vocal is generally the thing that most people listen to for melody I find it important that the sound recorded does not need to be equalised excessively on the mix in order to ensure clarity, etc. The microphone must capture the sound and characteristics of the vocal in such a way that the natural quality of the sound allows it to sit in the track.

Dennis Weinreich, Videosonics: The choice is always down to texture.

All microphones are possible vocal mics. Depending on the space in the track and the match between the voice and mic. It's experience and trial and error. The other key thing is pattern. The U47 valve is so broad in cardioid that a singer who moves around a lot will be treated very kindly by it. On the other hand the Shure SM54/53 is a great vocal mic but with a narrow pattern. If your artist is able to use it the 53/54 will knock most of the popular condenser mics right out of the box.

Patrick Makin, Soundshop: For those who have forgatten, rediscover.

Patrick Makin, Soundshop: For those who have forgotten, rediscover omnidirectional patterns for all types of vocal recording.

Paul Northfield, Le Studio: Type of compression would be more important to me with respect to the style of music than detailed comparisons of mics, obviously certain mics being more appropriate than others in any given situation.

**Bill Titus, Sear Sound:** The voice rather than style is the determining factor. With a singer I have never worked with I will quickly try all three mics listed (C12, U67, U47), male or female. If one doesn't sound great then something's wrong with the singer!

Phil Kapp, M&I Recording Enterprises: The actual mic (as opposed to just stating a brand and model number) is also important. Especially in dealing with older tube-type mics, the difference between two mics of the 'same' type can be great.

#### Male vocals 1st choice

#### UK

Neumann U87	329
Neumann U47	289
AKG C414	99
Neumann U67	89
AKG Tube	69
Calrec Soundfield	49
Other preferences below these figure	res:
Bruel & Kjaer 4007, Milab DC-63,	
Neumann KM66, Neumann TLM 1	.70,
Neumann U89, Sanken CU-41, Sch	oeps
CMC5/MK 2, Shure SM87	

### USA and Canada

ATTO OUT A	100
AKG C414	18%
Neumann U47	18%
Neumann U87	12%
AKG C12	10%
AKG Tube	6%
Neumann M49	6%
Neumann U67	4%
Telefunken ELA M 251	4%
Other preferences below these figu	ıres:
AKG 535, AKG C24, custom tube	,
Neumann TLM 170, Neumann U4	l8*,
Sanken CU 41, Schoeps CMC5/MI	₹4,
Sennheiser MD421, Shure SM5B,	
Telefunken U47*	

### Europe

Neumann U87	23%
Neumann U47	15%
Neumann TLM 170	129
Neumann U89	129
AKG C414	89
Shure SM58	89
Other preferences below these figure	es:
AKG Tube, Bruel & Kjaer 4006, Br	uel
& Kjaer 4007, Neumann M269,	
Neumann U67, Neumann U86*	

#### Worldwide

Neumann U87	24%
Neumann U47	21%
AKG C414	12%
Neumann U67	8%
AKG Tube	5%
AKG C12	4%
Neumann TLM 170	4%
Neumann U89	4%
Neumann M49	2%
Bruel & Kjaer 4007	1%
Calrec Soundfield	1%
Sanken CU-41	1%
Shure SM58	1%
Telefunken ELA M 251	1%
Other preferences below these figure	
AKG 535, AKG C24, Bruel & Kjaer	
4006, custom tube, Milab DC-63,	
Neumann KM66, Neumann M269,	
Neumann U48*, Neumann U86*,	
Schoeps CMC5/MK 2, Schoeps	
CMC5/MK 4, Sennheiser MD421, Sl	nure
SM87, Shure SM5B, Telefunken U4	7*
* We have been unable to verify these model numbers	

#### Male vocals Europe2nd choice Neumann IJ87

32%

#### UK

Neumann U87

AKG C414

AKG C414 23%
Neumann U47 19%
Shure SM58 6%
Neumann U67 4%
Other preferences below these figures:
AKG C451/CK5, Beyer M201, Electro-
Voice RE20, Milab BM-73, Neumann
KM86, Neumann TLM 170, Shure
SM57 Shure SM7 Sony C48

#### USA and Canada

AKG C414	27%
Neumann U47	25%
Neumann U87	15%
AKG C12	6%
Electro-Voice RE20	2%
Neumann U67	2%
Telefunken ELA M 251	2%
Other preferences below these f	igures:
AKG C24, Bruel & Kjaer 4007,	-
Neumann TLM 170, Neumann	U48*,
Sanken CU-41, Schoeps CMC5/I	MK 2,
Telefunken U48*	

redinann 007	417
AKG C414	19%
Neumann U47	15%
Electro-Voice RE20	12%
Neumann TLM 170	8%
Neumann U67	8%
Other preferences below these figur	res:
AKG Tube, Sanken CU-41, Sennhe	
MD441	

### Worldwide

Neumann U87	24%	
AKG C414	22%	
Neumann U47	21%	
Neumann U67	6%	
Electro-Voice RE20	4%	
Neumann TLM 170	3%	
Shure SM58	3%	
AKG C12	2%	
Sanken CU-41	1%	
Telefunken ELA M 251	1%	
Other preferences below these figure	res:	
AKG C24, AKG C451/CK5, AKG D202,		
AKG Tube, Beyer M201, Bruel & Kjaer		
4007, Milab BM-73, Neumann KM	86,	
Neumann U48*, Schoeps CMC5/MI	K 2,	
Sennheiser MD441, Shure SM57, S	hure	
SM7, Sony C48, Telefunken U48*		
$\ensuremath{^{*}}\xspace We have been unable to verify these model numbers$		

#### Female vocals Europe 1st choice

#### UK

Neumann U87

Neumann U47	219
AKG C414	139
Neumann U67	99
AKG Tube	69
Calrec Soundfield	49
Neumann TLM 170	49
Sanken CU-41	49
Other preferences specified below	these
figures: AKG C12, AKG C28, Bru	el &
Kjaer 4007, Milab DC-63, Neuma	nn
KM66, Schoeps CMC5/MK 2, Shu	re
SM85, Sony C48	

#### USA and Canada

	Carraga	
	Neumann U87	15%
	Neumann U47	14%
	AKG C414	12%
	AKG C12	10%
i	AKG Tube	8%
	Neumann M49	6%
	AKG C24	4%
	Sanken CU-41	4%
	Schoeps CMC5/MK 2	4%
	Telefunken ELA M 251	4%
	Other preferences below these	figures:
	AKG 535, custom tube, Neuma	ann
	KM84, Neumann KM86, Neur	nann TLM
	170, Neumann U48*, Neuman	n U67,
	Shure SM5B, Sony C500, Tele:	funken
	U47*	

25%

Neumann U87	27%
AKG C414	12%
Neumann TLM 170	12%
AKG Tube	8%
Neumann U67	8%
Neumann U89	8%
Other preferences below these fi	gures:
Bruel & Kjaer 4006, Bruel & Kj	aer
4007, Neumann M269, Neumann	n U47,
Neumann U86*, Sennheiser MK	H416,
Shure SM58	

#### Worldwide

Neumann U87

Neumann U47	149
AKG C414	129
Neumann U67	109
AKG Tube	69
AKG C12	49
Neumann TLM 170	49
Sanken CU-41	39
Neumann M49	29
Neumann U89	29
Schoeps CMC5/MK 2	29
AKG C24	19
Bruel & Kjaer 4007	19
Calrec Soundfield	19
Telefunken ELA M 251	19
Other preferences below these figure	es:
AKG 535, AKG C28, Bruel & Kjaer	
4006, custom tube, Milab DC-63,	
Neumann KM84, Neumann KM66,	
Neumann KM86, Neumann M269,	
Neumann U48*, Neumann U86*,	
Sennheiser MKH416, Shure SM58,	
Shure SM5B, Shure SM85, Sony C4	8,
Sony C500, Telefunken U47*	
*We have been unable to verify these model numbers	

### Female vocals Europe 2nd choice

## AKG C414

AKG C414 25%	
Neumann U87 25%	
Neumann U47 15%	
Shure SM58 6%	
AKG C12 4%	
Neumann U67 4%	
Other preferences below these figures:	
AKG C451/CK1, AKG Tube, Beyer	
M201, Bruel & Kjaer 4007, Milab	
DC-96, Neumann KM86, Neumann TLM	
170, Neumann U48*, Neumann U89,	
Sanken CU-41, Shure SM7, Sony C48	

## USA and

Canada	
AKG C414	29
Neumann U47	25
Neumann U87	$13^{\circ}$
Neumann U67	10
AKG C12	4
RCA 77	4
Other preferences below these	figures:
AKG Tube, Neumann M49, Ne	umann
TLM 170, Neumann U49*, Ser	nheiser

MD421, Sennheiser MD441, Sony C500

AKG C414	35%
Neumann U47	19%
Neumann U87	19%
Other preferences below these figure	ires:
Neumann TLM 170, Neumann Kl	M84,
Neumann U67, Sanken CU-41, Sc	hoeps
Collette M/S, Sennheiser MD441,	Shure
SM7	

#### Worldwide

AKG C414	27%
Neumann U87	21%
Neumann U47	19%
Neumann U67	6%
AKG C12	3%
Neumann TLM 170	2%
Neumann U49*	2%
Shure SM58	2%
AKG Tube	1%
Neumann M49	1%
RCA 77	1%
Sanken CU-41	1%
Sennheiser MD441	1%
Shure SM7	1%
Other preferences below these fig	ures:
AKG C451/CK1, AKG D224, Bey	er
M201, Bruel & Kjaer 4007, Milal	b
DC-96, Neumann KM84, Neuman	nn
KM86, Neumann U48*, Neuman	n U89,
Schoeps Collette M/S, Sennheiser	M421,

Shure SM57, Sony C48, Sony C500

\*We have been unable to verify these model numbers

## **VOICINGS ON VOCAL MICS**

## Backing vocals Europe 1st choice Neumann U87

#### UK

Neumann U87	479
AKG C414	139
Neumann U47	119
Neumann U67	89
AKG Tube	49
Shure SM85	49
Other preferences below these figur	es:
AKG C12, Calrec Soundfield, Milab	,
DC-63, Neumann TLM 170, Sanken	ι
CU-41, Schoeps CMTS 501, Sony Co	48

#### USA and Canada

AKG C414	32%
Neumann U87	22%
AKG C12	10%
Neumann U47	6%
AKG C24	4%
Schoeps CMC5/MK 2	4%
Other preferences below these fi	
AKG Tube, Bruel & Kjaer 4007,	
Crown/Amcron PZM, Neumann	
170, Neumann U249, Neumann	
Neumann U67, RCA 44, Sanken	CU-41,
Sony C37	

Neumann U87 4	
Bruel & Kjaer 4006	29
Neumann U89	29
Neumann TLM 170	89
Other preferences below these figures	:
AKG C414, AKG Tube, Neumann	
KM88, Neumann U67, Neumann U86	١*,
Schoeps CMTS 501, Shure SM58	

#### Worldwide

Neumann U87	37%
AKG C414	17%
Neumann U47	6%
Neumann U67	6%
AKG C12	4%
AKG Tube	3%
Neumann TLM 170	3%
Neumann U89	3%
Bruel & Kjaer 4006	2%
AKG C24	
Sanken CU-41	1%
	1%
Schoeps CMC5/MK 2	1%
Schoeps CMTS 501	1%
Shure SM58	1%
Shure SM85	1%
Other preferences below these figu	res:
Bruel & Kjaer 4007, Calrec Sound	field.
Crown/Amcron PZM, Milab DC-63	
Neumann KM88, Neumann U249,	
Neumann U49*, Neumann U86*,	
44, Sony C37, Sony C48	1011
*We have been unable to verify these model	
numbers	

### Backing vocals Europe 2nd choice

25%

19%

#### UK

Neumann U87

AKG C414

ATZO O10	6
AKG C12 69	
AKG C24 49	6
AKG C451/CK2 49	6
AKG Tube 49	<i>7</i> c
Neumann U47 49	%
Neumann U89 49	%
Other preferences below these figures:	
Beyer M88, Bruel & Kjaer 4007, Calrec	
CM 2003C (omni), Neumann KM86,	
Shure SM58, Shure SM85, Sony C48	

#### USA and Canada

AKG C414	29%
Neumann U87	219
Neumann U47	89
Neumann U67	8%
AKG Tube	49
Neumann TLM 170	49
Other preferences below these figur	es:
AKG 535, AKG C12, Electro-Voice	
RE20, Milab DC-96, Neumann KM8	34,
Neumann M49, Neumann U48*,	
Schoeps CMTS 501 stereo, Sennheis	ser
MD421, Shure SM81, Sony C37,	
Telefunken U47*	

Neumann U87	50
AKG C414	23
Neumann U47	8
Other preferences below these	figures:
AKG C34, Electro-Voice BK-1	,
Neumann KM84, Neumann T	LM 170,
Sennheiser MD441	

#### Worldwide

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Neumann U87	28%
AKG C414	24%
Neumann U47	13%
Neumann U67	4%
AKG C12	3%
AKG Tube	3%
Neumann TLM 170	2%
AKG C24	1%
AKG C451/CK2	1%
Neumann KM84	1%
Neumann U89	1%
Sennheiser MD421	1%
Other preferences below these figures:	
AKG 535, AKG C34, Beyer M88, Bruel	
& Kjaer 4007, Calrec CM 2003C (omni),	
Electro-Voice BK-1, Electro-Voice PL80,	
Electro-Voice RE20, Milab DC-96,	
Neumann KM86, Neumann M49,	
Neumann U48*, Schoeps CMTS 501	
stereo, Sennheiser MD441, Shure SM58,	
Shure SM81, Shure SM85, Sony C37,	
Sony C48, Telefunken U47*	
*We have been unable to verify these mode numbers	Ì
numbers	

### The Comments

Kim Stallings, Mediasound: Quite often the producer and/or singer asks for a specific mic. Old habits die hard!

Fred Catero: There is no 'one' mic for a job. A good engineer can get a good sound with almost any decent mic.

Rajesh Jhaveri, Rave Recording Studios: Choices are due to the fact that a very limited selection of microphones can be purchased by our studio in India for economical reasons. All need to be long lasting and multipurpose. 100% to 240% import duties on studio equipment plus rates as low as £5 per hour for studio hire generally prohibit too huge and expensive an inventory.

Hatsuro Takakami: In the case of rock 'n' roll, it demands less in comparison with classic and jazz because it is conditioned to a certain degree by EQ, echo treatment and processing. It can be more effective and powerful to use a mic that has relatively a small range with

Martin Rushent: This is the most ridiculous survey I have ever refused to become involved in!

A greater proportion of replies felt that type of vocal/singer and/or type of music were major factors in the choice which would involve a certain degree of experimentation.

> ven from a casual glance you have to notice that there are very few surprises in those mics which lead the categories in numbers. It shows

how very conservative we are in selecting vocal mics and that on the basis of these figures newer models, even from the leading manufacturers, are not being readily accepted for first or second choices under any of the categories here. Not really possible to show fully, were some of the replies we received from engineers who will carry out quite exhaustive tests to find the right mic for that particular voice, experimenting with models that do not include any of the mics listed by anyone for first or second choice. One wonders who tires first.

The number of obsolete mics in use is another interesting factor particularly when you consider how many of them are valve (tube) types. The AKG Tube made a respectable showing to the extent of being the most popular of the 'newer' mics indicating that a taste for valve (tube) technology may be independent of sheer antiquity of the mic itself. The only other new mic to make any significant impact in numbers is the Neumann TLM 170.

I would have liked to subdivide some replies into versions, ie U47 valve (tube)/nuvistor/FET, but replies were not specific enough so all have been totalled together. However, 95% of all USA replies listed their mics as tube (if they were) illustrating a greater concern over this.

Multipattern mics predominate for all the categories although it is interesting to note a small number of omnidirectional types. However, the total numbers of omnis does not necessarily show the extent of the use of omni pattern-most users seem to prefer using the multipattern types in omni rather than dedicated omnis. It would tend to suggest then that multipattern choice may be an influential factor in selecting a suitable mic.

I don't know if the use level of dynamic mics will be a surprise. I think I would have expected more, particularly when considering the studios included who are based in less developed markets. It turns out that these studios generally follow the most popular line in our survey. But I do find it strange that mics such as Electro-Voice *RE20* should make a notable appearance as a second choice male vocal mic and then only otherwise appear as a second choice backing vocal mic.

You will find one or two unexpected mics mentioned-the Calrec Soundfield being perhaps the most surprising. Together with one or two other choices these mics suggest a very specific way of working with the engineer looking for something quite different to the demonstrated norm.

All in all you are not very adventurous in choice. I sensed that engineers may be finding it difficult to present artists with vocal mics other than those the artist recognises immediately, which is understandable considering the fact that the artist must feel confident with the vocal mic just as much as be happy with the sound. Further, the mic is just a single element in a sound chain and as such some people do not see the choice of model as that important. You do have to use a microphone, though, and it was that initial decision process that we saw as still being important no matter what processing you apply later. **KJSA**